

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/707,410	NOWLIN, DAN H.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kaveh Abrishamkar	2131	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Kaveh Abrishamkar.

(3) _____

(2) Joesph Lutz (Reg. No. 43,765).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 June 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner suggested that the Applicant incorporate the limitation of loading the default OS which was already present in claims 11 and 21, into claim 1. The Applicant agreed to an Examiner's Amendment incorporating the limitation into claim 1.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)